

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,796	10/018,796 12/21/2001		Volker Detering	1454.1202	1945
21171	7590	03/03/2003			
STAAS &			EXAMINER		
700 LITH STREET, NW SUITE 500				MIS, DA	VIDC
WASHING	ON, DC	20001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2817	
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	10/018,796	DETERING ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Mis	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{21 E}$	<u>December 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	∑ Claim(s) <u>16-18,28-30 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ C	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-27,31-33 and 35</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2	. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s		🗖					
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev. (ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				

. 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 16-18, 28-30, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b.) as being clearly anticipated by Schenk.

See especially, column 2, lines 56-61 which indicate that the Schenk circuit was for a transceiver, which modulated the output signal. See especially figure 3, and column 5, line 22-28, the PLO includes a voltage controlled oscillator (VCO) locked to another oscillator – which operation describes a phase locked loop, which VCO provided an output signal and a feed back signal which was compared with an external reference signal, and the voltage control signal comprising voltage and current. See especially figure 2, divider 13a and mixer 15. See especially figure 2, the BPF's 17-20.

4. Claims 19-27, 31-33 and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

Application/Control Number: 10/018,796
Art Unit: 2817
Page 3

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Mis whose telephone number is 7033084907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 7033084909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7038729318 for regular communications and 7038729319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033080956.

David Mis Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

February 25, 2003